

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/780,073 02/09/2001 William P. Apps		
4,	RPC 0557 PUS	7630
7590 08/19/2003		
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND	EXAMI	NER
4010 E. 26th STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90023		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90023		
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/780,073	APPS, WILLIAM P.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J. Castellano	3727	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	CE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) The perion no event, ONLY CH 706.07(f) Extensions of ti	iod for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In the thing the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. HECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP). Itime may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1. (2) as set forth in (b)	1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in .192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The propo	osed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🔲 they	raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🔲 they	raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the es for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗌 they	present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	TE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.⊠ Applicant	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2 nd paragraph rejection of claim 22, only.
	oposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment g the non-allowable claim(s).
	affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the on in condition for allowance because:
	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly the Examiner in the final rejection.
	oses of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an ion of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status	s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s)	allowed:
Claim(s)	objected to:
Claim(s)	rejected: <u>1-28</u> .
Claim(s)	withdrawn from consideration:
8. The propo	osed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the a	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	
	Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3727

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 13 amendment complicates the issues for appeal by now stating that the uppermost edge of the band side detail is below an uppermost surface of the side and end portions of the band as stated in the last three lines of claim 13.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/780,073	APPS, WILLIAM	P.
İ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>August 4, 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper

		nea	ading of in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the bealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	\boxtimes	A s	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	\boxtimes	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.	\boxtimes	The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Otł	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		Sec	e Continuation Sheet

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727



Continuation of 9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Item 6: For each issue on appeal, identify whether the claims stand or fall together or do not stand or fall together. If claims do not stand or fall together, specifically identify, for each issue, which claims are grouped together as standing or falling together and which claims stand or fall by themselves. For each issue, applicant must provide a separate argument for each grouping stating why that grouping is separately patentable should the other groupings be deemed unpatentable.

Specifically for issue II, applicant has not included the required statement that one or more claims stand or fall together but has stated that claims 1-28 do not stand or fall together in the first paragraph of the Grouping of Claims section and identifies subgroupings B-F for Issue II which implies that claims 6-18 and 21-26 do not stand or fall together. There is no mention of claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 21 and 23-26 in any of subgroupings B-F of Issue II. Therefore, the grouping and the subsequent arguments are incomplete for not specifying where each claim stands or falls.

In addition the arguments are not complete. Why is claim 7 of group D separately patentable from claim 22 of group C? Why is claim 7 separately patentable from claims 10 and 17 of group E? Why is claim 7 separately patentable from claims 10 and 17 of group F? Why is claim 14 separately patentable from claims 10 and 17 of group F? This doesn't mention all the groupings and claims left out by not mentioning claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 21 and 23-26.

Item 7: There is no argument presented for issue VIII pertaining to a 112, second paragraph rejection.